

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,179	12/04/2001	Toshiyuki Sue	81863.0013	6916
26021	7590 09/12/2003		1	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			EXAMINER	
			GROUP, KARL E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			x/			
	Application No.	Appl	licant(s)			
	10/005,179	SUE,	, TOSHIYUKI			
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	Jnit			
	Karl E Group	1755	<b>,</b>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire s te, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail become ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ling date of this communication. .S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 37 (	CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b)□ disapproved b	y the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language portion 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application	on has been received.				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO- Notice of Informal Patent A Other:				



Application/Control Number: 10/005,179

Art Unit: 1755

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiramatsu (6,051,515) further in view of Hirahara et al (5,432,135).

Hiramatsu teaches a dielectric composition having a perovskite structure that is used for dielectric resonators (see column 1, lines 5-10). The composition comprises BaO, SrO, MgO and WO<sub>3</sub> within the ranges set forth in the instant claims, see Table 1. Furthermore, Mn may be added, see column 5, lines 40-44.

Hiramatsu fails to teach the addition of the rare earth oxide.

Hirahara et al teach a perovskite dielectric composition similar to the perovskite taught by Hiramatsu and that claimed including MgO, BaO and Wo<sub>3</sub> to be used in dielectric resonators (see column 1, line 16). Rare earth oxide is added to the composition to transfer the resonance frequency to a suitable value on the positive side (see column 1, lines 45-53; column 2, lines 39-52). This allows the composition to utilized in microwave applications (column 1, lines 38-44).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/005,179

Art Unit: 1755

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further include a rare earth oxide in the composition of Hiramatsu because of the improvement in the temperature coefficient of resonance frequency as taught by Hirahara et al.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E Group whose telephone number is 703-308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Karl E Group Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

Keg 9/45/03